ANALYTICAL NOTES ON THE FLORA OF SOUTH AUSTRALIA

H.R. Toelken

State Herbarium, Botanic Gardens, North Terrace, Adelaide, South Australia 5000

Abstract

The taxa in the recently published 'Flora of South Australia' are analysed quantitatively in different ways and compared with those found in Victoria, Australia, as well as the world. A high percentage of naturalised taxa and low number of endemics are characteristic of the S. Australian flora which shares many taxa with surrounding territories. The largest families and genera are tabulated. An attempt is also made to assess the advances in knowledge made during the past 60 years in order to establish the present level of understanding of the S. Australian flora.

Black (1922-29) recognised about 2400 species in the first edition of the 'Flora of South Australia'. He included 2982 species in the second edition (1943-57). These additional 582 species, 19.5% of the flora, represent an average addition of nineteen species per year during approximately 30 years. Eichler (1965) added more species in his 'Supplement', but this publication is better known for its corrections and changes to previously accepted names. Further additions were made in part I of a third edition by Jessop (1978), but this edition was never completed.

Nearly thirty years after the second edition a complete fourth edition has been published. There are now 3639 species described, adding 657 species, 18.1% of the flora, or an average increase of 22 species per year. The rate of increase of knowledge of the flora has been, if measured by the number of species added, more or less constant during the past 60 years. Churchill & de Corona (1972) reported an average annual increase of 15 species in the Victorian flora for the period of 1931-72 and Ross (1976) found that it continued at the same rate.

A comparison of the South Australian flora with that of Victoria is instructive since Ross (1976) published a detailed evaluation of the latter although now slightly outdated. Any comparisons can only be taken as indicative because different approaches were used in the delimitation of families and genera and the number of species would have presumably increased at an average annual rate of 15 species since the beginning of 1976. Adjustments made for surface area, South Australia (380,070 miles²) is more than four times the size of Victoria (87,884 miles²), would not give a totally reliable comparison as only small southern areas of South Australia are climatically comparable with Victoria. Large parts of South Australia belong to a relatively poor flora of semi-arid central Australia (Maslin & Hopper 1982), and because of the absence of natural boundaries South Australia shares taxa with all adjoining territories. The more humid climate of Victoria supports approximately double the number of Pteridophyta than S. Australia (Table 1) at genus and species level. The incompletely published 'Flora of south-eastern Queensland' by Stanley & Ross (1983) with its estimated 3600 species for a surface area of about 71,255 miles², may become a very closely comparable floristic region with that of Victoria.

The 'Flora of South Australia' (1986) describes 159 families, 1016 genera and 3639 species compared with Willis (1970-72), the basis of Ross (1976), who recognised 178 families, 918 genera and 3322 species for Victoria (see Table 1). Forbes et al. (1984) updated information on the Victorian flora and although there are references to taxa accepted by Willis, they do not distinguish between name changes and the less common addition of new species.

Most comparisons are made here on the basis of percentage. In the South Australian flora there are 2671 indigenous species, or 73.4% compared with 77.6% in Victoria. The

J. Adelaide Bot. Gard. 10(1) (1987)

		оТ	fal	TuteN	alised	оТ	ध्य	wieN	alised	юТ	ial	gibnI	enous	noteN	pəsilsı
						Total Naturalised			Total Indigenous Naturalises						
		oN	%	oN	%	oN	%	oN	%	oN	%	oM	%	oN	%
eridophyta	AR	18	11.4	0	0	31	1.5	0	0	6 \$	T.1	SS	S.I	t	1.0
	AIC	74	2,51	0	0	Lt	2.2	0	0	112	4.5	Ш	4.5	Ţ	1.0
уппоѕреттае	AR	7	1.3	I	7.0	7	2.0	I	1.0	10	£.0	ς	1.0	ς	2.0
	AIC	ε	L'I	Ţ	9.0	3	4.0	I	1.0	6	£.0	9	2.0	3	1.0
icotyledoneae	ΥS	601	9.89	72	8.21	123	£.17	167	7.82	2703	£.47	8791	t'tS	725	20.0
	ΛIC	SII	9.49	ÞΙ	6°L	979	2.89	107	6.12	2318	8.69	1726	6.22	295	0.71
Ionocotyledoneae	٧S	30	9.81	S	2.5	760	<i>L.</i> 22	\$8	£.8	<i>L</i> 98	23.9	633	4.71	734	2.9
	VIC	98	20.3	ς	8.2	747	4.92	99	2.7	883	9.92	707	1.12	181	5.2
otal	SA	821 691		31 31	7,91 5,11	910I 816		97E. 832	0.7£ 2.92	3825		7671 2671	4.ET 6.TT	<i>L</i> † <i>L</i> 896	8.62

Table I. Proportional representation of the total number of families, genera and species and their naturalised component in the South Australian compared with the Victorian flora (Ross 1976). (Percentages are calculated of the total of each taxon in its respective flora.)

Dicotyledoneae are at all levels between 3 and 8% (Table 1) better represented in South Australia than in Victoria while the Monocotyledoneae are marginally better represented in Victoria. The percentage naturalised species in the Dicotyledoneae of both States is about three times larger than in the Monocotyledoneae, and in both groups the percentage is larger in South Australia than in Victoria.

Table 2 provides a comparison of the South Australian and Victorian floras, especially their indigenous components, with the flora of the whole of Australia including Tasmania. The genera are predictably represented by a higher percentage being a higher taxonomic grouping and thus more likely to have a wider distribution. The percentage representation of the total of the genera in the Monocotyledoneae and Dicotyledoneae is almost twice that of the species for both States. The percentage representation of all categories of Dicotyledoneae and the genera of Monocotyledoneae are higher for South Australia (cf. Table 1). The percentage of the total species of Monocotyledoneae is about equal for both States and that of the indigenous species is higher for Victoria. It must also be noted that once the Monocotyledoneae of both States are expressed in terms of the flora of Australia they have a marginally higher representation throughout whereas their relative representation is about one-third that of the Dicotyledoneae (cf. Table 1). Similarly the percentage of the total genera and species of the Pteridophyta are raised into the range of those for the Angiospermae, while figures for the Gymnospermae remain very low for both States.

Tables 3 and 4 present some of the largest angiosperm families in South Australia shown in relation to figures for Victoria, Australia and the whole world. The floras of Victoria, Australia and the world would each have a somewhat different descending order of families and additional families would need to be inserted where they are not well represented in South Australia. In Table 3 the families are arranged in order of decreasing number of genera, while in Table 4 they are arranged in order of similar numbers of species. Beadle (1981) published a list of all Australian families with their number of genera, species and their distribution together with similar data for the world. In Figs 2.9, 2.10 he illustrated diagramatically the proportions of the Australian flora in the world's largest families in regard to their genera and species. The characteristics of the flora of South Australia approach the structure of his 'the flora of the arid zone'. The figures for the number of genera and species in the world's larger families vary greatly according to the source as shown in the last two columns of Tables 3 and 4 and should consequently be treated as estimates.

The largely indigenous families often have very large genera so that they rank much higher in Table 4. These include the Myrtaceae, Goodeniaceae and Myoporaceae, while the Epacridaceae is relatively small in South Australia in contrast to Australia as a whole. The large families account for 71.2% of the genera and 77.6% of the species in South Australia and similar high percentages were reported for Victoria (Ross 1976).

In contrast, the largest genera each with 25 or more species, account for only a small part (17.6%) of the South Australian species (cf. Table 5). All of them have a low number of naturalised species except for *Senecio* and *Solanum*. The total of the naturalised species amount to 3.5% as compared with 26.8% (Table 2) of the flora of South Australia. Their percentage endemism is slightly higher (10.2%) than the 6.4% for the whole State. All genera have at least one species endemic to South Australia.

Discussion

Ross (1976) makes special mention of the high incidence of naturalised taxa in Victoria and they are here similarly delimited in that families and genera are restricted to those with all their species being of foreign origin. These species are usually introduced from outside Australia but in about fifteen cases in the South Australian flora, e.g. four in *Acacia*, they are interstate introductions. In South Australia there is a particularly large percentage of naturalised

J. Adelaide Bot. Gard. 10(1) (1987)

			S	Speci								g	Gener					
Australia		toria	οiV			Australia.	South		RilsneuA	South Australia Victoria								
	snoua	gibnI	lal	oT	snous	gibnI	fal	оТ		snous	gibal	tal	оТ	zuonsgibnI IntoT				
oN	%	oN	%	οN	%	οN	%	oN	oN	%	oN	%	oN	%	oN	%	oN	
1507	4.72	Ш	<i>T.T</i> 2	112	9.51	SS	9.41	65	1611	2.95	LÞ	2.95	LÞ	1.62	15	1.92	18	Pteridophyta
04	9.8	9	12.9	6	2.7	ς	14.3	10	Lī	8.11	7	7.71	ε	6.2	I	8.11	7	Cymnospermae
12085	2.41	9571	2.91	2318	4.61	8791	22.4	2703	1735	24.5	425	1.95	979	25.5	747	7.14	723	Dicotyledoneae
3885	9.61	707	7.42	883	7.71	633	2.4.2	L9 8	19	7.82	941	2.95	747	29.3	180	42.4	097	Monocotyledoneae
07191	5.21	2475	9.02	3322	9.91	1792	27.6	6696	2475	£.32	0\$9	1.75	816	4.62	† \$9	1,14	9101	IstoT

Table 2. Proportional representation of the total number of genera and species and their indigenous component in the South Australian compared with the Victorian flora (Ross 1976). (Percentages are calculated of the total of each category in the Australian flora (Morley & Toelken 1983; R.J. Chinnock¹ oral comm.).

	723	71.24	+2.54	+L.T.2	779	*8.7a	1480	9079	£8\$9
stionaceae	L	<i>L</i> .0	100	_	9	<i>L</i> .0			
clepiadaceae	L	7.0	1.72	45.9	ç		70	87	28
naranthaceae	L	L'0	4.17	9.82	ε	9°0 5°0	61	130	130
oteaceae	8	8.0	100	-	01	1.1	τI	\$9	\$9
odeniaceae	8	8.0	100		9		St	79	SL
acridaceae	6	6.0	100	_	SI	9.1 7.0	91	14	Lī
nvolvulaceae	6	6.0	100		9		28	30	IE
: sesset	6	6.0	100	_	01	T.0	81	\$\$	22
biaceae	10	0.1	100			1.1	It	120	120
rtaceae	П	1,1	08	07	8	8.0	75	005	200
Saceae	12	1.2	8.18	2.81	13	, p.I	04	100	LtI
gnaceae	14	p.1	£.81	£.£8	17	£.1	74	100	122
อธอวธณ์ฐธา	14	1 .1	2.72	8.24	6	1.0	77	06	† 8
рһогріасеае	SI	2.1	T.88	E.E1	12	£.1	23	100	100
овсеве	<u></u>	<i>L</i> .1	6.28		13	j.1	53	300	300
Пуасеае	81	8.1	1.13	1.74	10	1.1	61	130	140
rophulariaceae	61	6.1	975	38.9	10	1.1	t 7	SL	\$8
регасеае	61	6°I		4.74	61	2.1	77	220	720
гуорћу Пасеае	70	2.0	001	_	77	2.3	Lt	06	06
biatae	20	2.0	72	SL	07	2.2	72	04	08
Тасеае	77	2.2	St	22	61	1.2	38	180	700
chidaceae	77		1.6	6.06	LI	6°I	57	09	04
pelliferae	75	7.2	100	_	72	<i>L</i> .2	06	SEL	009
aceae		9.2	95	05	74	5.6	36	512	300
enopodiaceae	L7	L.2	5.22	5.44	23	7.5	23	520	515
iciferae	LZ	7.2	6.88	1.11	18	6.1	31	102	100
pilionoideae	43	7.4	2.75	8.23	39	£.4	23	SLE	SLE
	19	0.9	8.57	2.92	45	9.4	136	001	005
əmineae	611	12.7	L.62	40.3	901	9,11	217	079	049
	LET	2.51	52.6	S.74	105	4.11	701	006	1100
	Number of Genera	*lstoT to %	%3 Indigenous	bəzilerutaN [£] %	Number of Genera	*latoT to %	Number of Genera	Number of Genera	Number o Genera
		y unos	Australia		toiV	sino	silentenA	World1	World

Table 3. The 29 largest families (including subfam. Papilionoideae) represented by 7 or more genera listed in order of decreasing number of genera and compared with similar figures for Victoria (Ross 1976) and Australia (Morley & Toelken 1983) as well as the world (Airy Shaw 1973¹; Morley & Toelken 1983²). (Percentages are calculated of the number of genera in the family³, or the total of each category in the respective flora*).

J. Adelaide Bot. Gard. 10(1) (1987)

able 4. The 31 larges	ulani) sailimal	M amaidua pait	1		010-	0/47	»L"\\	94801	103260	140841
lsto	7877	+9°LL	+L't	+9.TZ	20.0⁴	2478		332	400	456
	30	8.0	5.51	100	_	09	7.0 8.1	06	120	120
pacridaceae	18	6.0	2.5	100		23	2.0	100	1650	1650
Taloragaceae	32	6.0	1.5	1.87	8.12	91	2.1	203	0009	0007
Convolvulaceae	33	6.0	3.0	8.87	2.12	36		320	006	1800
sesae subiaceae		6.0	9.02	100	_	67	2.1	\$9	2000	3370
Sutaceae	34	6.0	_	20.6	₽.er	32	1.0	Lt	008	054
Sosaceae	34	0.1	L'S	4.12	. 5 °8†	15	6.0		2000	2400
olygonaceae	32		1.11	8.28	2.74	57	6.0	68	1200	2300
9asoaniga 108	98.	0.1	-	2.29	8.75	LI	2.0	09	008	1800
Aizoaceae	75	0.1	_	8.9	2.59	56	6.0	ÞL		2000
ndaceae	44	1.2	_	1.92	6.ET	84	4.1	SL	1750	1200
Saryophyllaceae	97	E.I			5.9	99	2.0	006	1020	
Toteaceae	817	£.1	22.9	7.59	2.92	77	8.0	091	1000	7000
Malvaceae	67	4.1	2.0	2.57	3 76	81	2.0	861	06	215
Myoporaceae	0\$	4.1	10.0	100		33	0.1	215	2000	2000
Suphorbiaceae	IS	p.1	6.7	77.6	27.5	21	<i>L</i> .0	131	820	006
Amaranthaceae	IS	4.1	9.5	2.47	25.5	IS	2.1	220	3200	3000
Amaranthacoco	25	4.1	12.4	T.T2	42.3		2.I	165	3000	3-5000
abiatae	23	S'I	4.6	4.09	3.65	15	L'I	491	2820	3000
crophulariaceae	99	2.1	8.I	L'69	30.4	LS	₽, <u>I</u>	186	2000	2000
Umbelliferae		9.I	£.7	6.09	1.95	85		380	300	410
Solanaceae	69	2.0	7.21	100	_	74	1.2	188	3700	3200
Goodeniaceae	I.L.	1.2	6.7	8.29	34.2	67	S.1		3200	3200
Liliaceae	94		8.2	5.52	7.94	08	2.4	123	2000	3000
Gruciferae	701	6.2	2.21	2.29	5.4	† 6	6.2	007	0002	4000
Mimosoideae	110	3.0		0.19	0.6	891	1.2	0\$9		3000
Operaceae	122	4.8	5.5	9.76	2.4	138	4.2	1280	3000	30000
Муптасеае	176	2.5	6.21		_	SLI	5.3	100	17000	
Orchidaceae	128	2.5	7.0	001	5.4	101	30	300	1400	1200
Chenopodiaceae	861	2.2	1.2	2.26		202	1.9	1100	0006	12000
Papilionoideae	907	L'S	8.8	0.78	0.55	323	<i>L</i> '6	1225	10000	0006
Gramineae Papilionoideee	\$75	10.3	2.5	4.69	3.65	198	6.01	046	13000	72000
Compositae	433	6.11	1.2	7.07	29.3	198	001		cornede	of species
aetizogmo			0111100000	Indigenous	Naturalised	of species	*lstoT	seiceqs 10	of species	Number
	of species	* %	Endemic	oriouspipul	ε%	Number	30 %	Number	лэфшиМ	Mumbos
	Number	V 20	ε%	ε%	£ 20					-DUO 44
			75777 72 7247 7 2 2			Victoria		Australia	1bl10W	World
		S	silentenA dinoi							

Table 4. The 31 largest families (including subfams Mimosoideae and Papilionoideae) with 30 or more species in South Australia are listed in decreasing order of species and compared with figures for Victoria (Ross 1976) and Australia (Morley & Toelken 1983) as well as the world (Airy Shaw 1973); Morley & Toelken 19832). (Percentages are calculated of the number of species in the families³, or the total of each category in the respective flora⁴).

		South Australia		Victoria	Australia	
Genera	Total number of species in South Australia	Number of Endemic in South Australia	Number of Naturalised species in South Australia	Total	Total	
Acacia	107	17	4	93	660	
Eucalyptus s.l.	63	9	_	79	470	
Eremophila	44	4	_	13	180	
Atriplex	42	4	1	24	60 ²	
Stipa	40	4	_	23	61	
Goodenia	39	6		22	170	
Maireana	39	2		19	57 ²	
Sclerolaena	35	2	_	16 ¹	622	
Solanum	31	1	11	25	125	
Brachycome	30	6	_	34	52	
Olearia	30	2	_	37	80	
Swainsona	29	3		14	52	
Pterostylis	29	2		39	65	
Senecio	29	1	7 .	27	36	
Helipterum	27	1	_	15	60	
Ptilotus	25	1	_	91	79	
Total	639	65	23	489	2269	
	17.6%2	10.2%3	3.5%3	14.7%2	14.1%2	

Table 5. The 16 largest genera with 25 or more species in South Australia listed in decreasing order and compared with respective figures for Victoria (Ross 1976) and Australia (Morley & Toelken 1983; P.G. Wilson 1984¹). (Percentages are calculated of the total number of species in the respective flora², the sum of species enumerated³).

taxa in the Angiospermae (Table 1) and this cannot be explained wholly by differences in the interpretation and definition of naturalised taxa, adventives and casuals. It might reflect the presence of several botanists especially interested in aliens. There are 31 families, or 19.7%, 376 genera, or 37.0%, and 968 species, or 26.8% of the flora, naturalised in South Australia. Green (1985) reported only 838 naturalised species in a flora of 7963 species of Western Australia.

All 31 families confined to naturalised aliens have only 1-5 genera (Table 6) and 371 genera of these 376 naturalised have 1-5 species. Of the 122 (76.7% of the total) families with only 1-5 genera 91 (71.1%) are indigenous families; of 857 (84.4% of the total) genera, 486 (56.7%) comprise 1-5 species. In order to further illustrate the extent of naturalised taxa in the South Australian flora, the number of families with at least half of their genera having half or more of their species naturalised raised the above figure from 31 families to 53 (Table 6) among those with 1-5 genera.

Table 3 and 4 show that families vary greatly in the ratio of naturalised and indigenous taxa and generalisations can rarely be made. For instance, the Caryophyllaceae and Cruciferae are well known for their high content of naturalised weeds, but they are unexpectedly surpassed in S. Australia by the Iridaceae, a family rarely found in this category in many floras. Similarly, the Chenopodiaceae are usually classed with the above two weedy families, yet in S.Australia it has a very low content of naturalised genera and species. Other families with a high number of species indigenous to Australia such as the Rutaceae and Proteaceae have few or no naturalised species in South Australia in spite of many species being widely cultivated.

Some 6 genera (0.6% of the flora) are endemic to South Australia viz. Achnophora (1 sp.), Basedowia (1 sp.), Carinavalva (1 sp.), Embadium (3 spp.), Grammosolen (2 spp.) and Pseudanthus (1 sp.), and 234 species are endemic consisting of 201 Dicotyledoneae and 33

No. of genera in family	No. of families	% of Total	No. of families with only naturalised genera	% of Total	No. of families with ½ or more genera and species naturalised	% of Total
1	66	41.5	25	15.7	30	18.9
2	23	14.5	3	1.9	5	3.1
3	15	9.4	2	1.3	9	5.7
4	10	6.3	_	_	6	3.8
5	8	5.0	1	0.6	3	1.9
Total	122	76.7	31	19.5	53	33.4

Table 6. Proportional representation of families with 1-5 genera as well as the proportional naturalised component expressed in two different ways.

Monocotyledoneae. The endemic species represent 6.4% of the species in the State and 1.5% of the total Australian flora. Unfortunately no such information is available for Victoria. South Australia could be grouped with poorer continental floras having a low percentage of endemism and is comparable to the British Isles (1.2% endemism), but not Europe as defined by 'Flora Europaea' which includes the Mediterranean region (33% endemism) Webb (1978). This low endemism in S. Australia is significant as Australia was estimated to have 85% endemism (Specht et al. 1974) comparing well with New Zealand (81.1%), or Hawaii (92.3%) (Raven & Axelrod 1978). In contrast, the endemism of southern Western Australia is estimated at 68% (Tilman et al. 1983). There are centres of higher endemism in parts of South Australia, especially Kangaroo Island, but details are not at present available.

The figures for number of species in Australia are based on Morley & Toelken (1983), which are considered conservative, yet the 15735 species referred to there, or 16140 vascular plants, exceed the upper limit of the estimates of Specht et al. (1974) and Beadle (1981) of 13-15000. Both Specht and Beadle based their figures on Burbidge (1963). Black (1943, p. 9) reported that "no less than 1400 genera and 8163 species were described" in Bentham's 'Flora Australiensis' when completed in 1878. In little more than a century the numbers of recognised genera and species have almost doubled to 2475 and 16140 respectively. The comment by Ride (1978, p. 79) 'it is currently believed that the Australian flora comprises about 25000 species of vascular plants', an assumption probably based on a few genera, shows that the Australian flora is far from being taxonomically described. Other evidence on how thoroughly the Australian vascular flora is known is shown in the abscence of any decrease in the average number of new species recorded per year in both South Australia and Victoria. These additions consist of species new to science, species previously only known from other States and newly naturalised species. Since a similar constant increase of the number of naturalised species has been found (Kloot, oral comm.), the resultant number of new species and new records of species must also be constant. The new 'Flora of South Australia' is a great improvement on the existing literature, but at the same time new species and a more adequate knowledge of existing species distribution, biology and environmental requirements still need to be acquired.

Acknowledgements

The author is very grateful to Drs R.J. Chinnock and P.M. Kloot for permission to use some of their as yet unpublished material which is individually acknowledged in the text. Thanks are also due to Dr J.P. Jessop for assistance in writing this paper.

References

- Airy Shaw, H.K. (1973). 'A Dictionary of the Flowering Plants and Ferns' edn 8, (Cambridge University Press).
- Beadle, N.C.W. (1981). 'The Vegetation of Australia'. (Gustav Fischer: Stuttgart). Bentham, G. (1963-78). 'Flora Australiensis' vol. 1-7. (Reeve: London).
- Black, J.M. (1922-29). 'Flora of South Australia' edn 1. (Govt Printer: Adelaide).
- Black, J.M. (1943-57). 'Flora of South Australia' edn 2. (Govt Printer: Adelaide).
- Burbidge, N.T. (1963). 'Dictionary of Australian Plant Genera'. (Angus & Robertson: Sydney).
- Churchill, D.M. & de Corona, A. (1972). 'The Distribution of Victorian Plants'. (Dominion Press: North Blackburn, Victoria).
- Eichler, Hj. (1965). Supplement to Black, J.M. 'Flora of South Australia'. (Govt Printer: Adelaide).
- Forbes, S.J., Gullan, P.K., Kilgour, R.A. & Powell, M.A. (1984). 'A Census of the Vascular Plants of Victoria'. (National Herbarium of Victoria: Melbourne).
- Green, J.W. (1985). 'Census of the Vascular Plants of Western Australia' edn 2. (Western Australian Herbarium: Perth).
- Jessop, J.P. (1978). Black, J.M. 'Flora of South Australia' edn 3. (Govt Printer: Adelaide).
- Jessop, J.P. & Toelken, H. (1986). 'Flora of South Australia' edn 4. (Govt Printer: Adelaide).
- Maslin, B.R. & Hopper, S.D. (1982). Phytogeography of Acacia (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae) in Central Australia. In Barker, W.R. & Greenslade, P.J.M. (eds) 'Evolution of the Flora and Fauna of Arid Australia'. (Peacock Publications: Frewville, Adelaide).
- Morley, B. & Toelken, H. (1983). 'Flowering Plants in Australia'. (Rigby: Adelaide).
- Axelrod, D.I. & Raven, P.H. (1978). Late cretaceous and tertiary vegetation history of Africa. In Werger, M.J.A. (ed.), 'Biogeography and Ecology of Southern Africa'. (W. Junk: The Hague).
- Ross, J.H. (1976). An analysis of the flora of Victoria. Muelleria 3: 169-176 (1976).
- Specht, R.L., Roe, E.M. & Broughton, V.H. (1974). Conservation of major plant communities in Australia and Papua New Guinea. Austr. J. Bot. Suppl. Ser. 7: 1-667.
- Stanley, T.D. & Ross, E.M. (1983). 'Flora of south-eastern Queensland' vol. 1. (Queensland Dept of Primary Industries: Brisbane).
- Ride, W.D.L. (1978). Towards a national biological survey: the Australian biological resources study. Search 9: 73-82. Tilman, D. et al. (1983). Origin and maintenance of plant species diversity. In Day, J. (ed.) 'Mineral Nutrients in Mediterranean Ecosystems' 125-155. (C.S.I.R.: Pretoria).
- Webb, D.A. (1978). Flora Europaea A retrospect. Taxon 27: 3-14.
- Willis, J.H. (1970-72). 'A Handbook to Plants in Victoria' vol. 1 & 11. (Melbourne University Press).
- Wilson, P.G. (1984). Chenopodiaceae. In George, A.S. (exec. ed.) 'Flora of Australia' 4:81-317. (Austr. Govt Publ. Services: Canberra).